	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/611,539	LAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.	(3) <u>HOWARD LEE</u> . <b>//</b> (4)	6/2005
(2) TAOFIG SOLOLA.	(4)	1/ 2005
Date of Interview: 09 August 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		· .
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>applicants attorney, Howard Lee, will correct obvious typographical errors and provide a table representing the various substituents on the structural formula (Ic)</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sign	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS DF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS SINTERVIEW SUMMARY
	<u>.</u>	
	$\int_{0}^{1}$	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required